

Discrete MDL Predicts in Total Variation

Marcus Hutter, ANU&NICTA, Canberra, Australia, www.hutter1.net

Main result informal: For *any* countable class of models $\mathcal{M} = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots\}$ containing the unknown true sampling distribution P , MDL predictions converge to the true distribution in total variation distance. **Formally ...**

- Given $x = x_1 \dots x_\ell$, the Q -prob. of $z = x_{\ell+1} x_{\ell+2} \dots$ is $Q(z|x) = \frac{Q(xz)}{Q(x)}$
- Use $Q = \text{Bayes}$ or $Q = \text{MDL}$ instead of P for **prediction**
- **Total variation distance:** $d_\infty(P, Q) := \sup_{A \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\infty} |Q[A|x] - P[A|x]|$
- **Bayes**(x) := $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} Q(x) w_Q$, [$w_Q > 0 \forall Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} w_Q = 1$]
- **MDL** selects Q which leads to minimal code length for x :
MDL ^{x} := $\arg \min_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} \{-\log Q(x) + K(Q)\}$, [$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} 2^{-K(Q)} \leq 1$]

Theorem 1 (Discrete Bayes&MDL Predict in Total Variation)

$$\begin{array}{ll} d_\infty(P, \text{Bayes}|x) \rightarrow 0 & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{almost surely} \\ \text{for } \ell(x) \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \right\} & [\text{Blackwell\&Dubins 1962}] \\ d_\infty(P, \text{MDL}^x|x) \rightarrow 0 & & [\text{Hutter NIPS 2009}] \end{array}$$

No independence, ergodicity, stationarity, identifiability, or other assumption